

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,498	05/18/2005	Rahul K Dharmadhikary	AWL-223-2002	3901
	7590 02/15/2007 HONG FLAHERTY & BI	ROITMAN PC	EXAM	INER
250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825 SALVATORE, LYNDA				E, LYNDA
NEW YORK, NY 10177			PAPER NUMBER	
		1771		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	02/15/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/535,498	DHARMADHIKARY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Lynda M. Salvatore	1771	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address	
Period fo	• •		0) 00 THE TOTAL (00) DAVO	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	ay 2005.		
2a) <u></u> □	·—	action is non-final.		
3)				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•
•				
Applicat	ion Papers			
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		-	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•). ·
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 60 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a	, (a) o. (.).	
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmer				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	r (PTO-413) ate	
3) X Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I		

Application/Control Number: 10/535,498

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-8,10-13,16-19 and 21-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Griesbach, III et al., US 2004/0123939 A1.

The published patent application issued to Griesbach et al., teaches a non-woven film laminate having barrier properties (title and abstract). Said laminate resists penetration by liquids and viruses (abstract). Griesbach et al., teach joining a spun-bonded non-woven layer having a basis weight ranging from 20-60 gsm to a multi-layer film (section 0046, 0064 and 0050). Said fabric layer is made with polyethylene or polypropylene (section 0059). Said multi-layer film comprises a core layer comprising blends of polypropylenes and two skin layers comprising blends of polyolefins, ethylene acrylic acid etc. (sections 0050-0053). Said film layers have a basis weight less than 20 gsm (section 0074). Griesbach et al., teach positioning the multi-layer film between two outer non-woven layers (section 0046).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/535,498

Art Unit: 1771

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griesbach, III et al., US 2004/0123939 A1 as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, in view of Morman et al., US 2004/0091752.

Griesbach et al., fails to teach a barrier layer comprising low density polyethylene, however, the patent issued to Morman et al., teach a film comprising either linear low density polyethylene or low density polyethylene (section 0044). Said film is employed in a laminate structure where liquid impermeability properties are desired (abstract). It appears that the polyolefins employed by Griesbach et al., are functionally equivalent to the low density polyethylene polymers of Morman for the desired use of forming an impermeable film.

Therefore, absent unexpected results, it is the position of the Examiner that it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known equivalent polyolefin material as a function of availability, cost of ease of production.

5. Claims 4, 9,15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griesbach, III et al., US 2004/0123939 A1 as applied to claims 1 and 12, in view of Sharps, Jr., US 4,606,970.

Griesbach et al., fails to teach employing dry adhesive to bond the film to the non-woven layers, however, the patent issued to Sharps, Jr., teaches using dry adhesive to form a non-woven film laminate (column 4, 2-50). Sharps, Jr., also teach a variety of other adhesive laminating methods such as spray adhesive and heat lamination (column 2, 10-20). It appears that each of these lamination methods are suitable to form the non-woven film laminate and

Application/Control Number: 10/535,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

each method is considered obvious over the other. To that end, it is the position of the Examiner that it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to laminate the multi-layer film of Griesbach et al., to the non-woven fabric layers with dry adhesive as taught by Sharps, Jr.

Sharps, Jr., fails to teach the claimed amount of dry adhesive, however, it is the position of the Examiner that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the amount of dry adhesive as a function of adhesiveness. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)

Griesbach et al., also fails to teach a film layer comprising the claimed blend of low and linear low density polyethylene, however, the patent issued to Sharps Jr., teach forming a film from a blend of low and linear low density polyethylene (column 2, 40-46). Sharps, Jr., teaches that the film exhibits enhanced puncture resistance and strength properties.

Therefore, motivated by the desire to produce a film with enhanced puncture resistance and strength properties, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the film of Griesbach et al., with the blend of low and linear low density polyethylene taught by Sharps, Jr.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M. Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,498

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 3, 2007